UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,151	03/29/2004	Naoki Wada	018907.0114	8462
24735 BAKER BOTT	7590 01/26/2007 S LLP	EXAMINER		
C/O INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			DUONG, THO V	
THE WARNER, SUITE 1300 1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/26/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/26/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptocorrespondence@bakerbotts.com oneka.davis@bakerbotts.com darlene hoskins31@msn.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/811,151	WADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tho v. Duong	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 O 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-11 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplication and not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the to the second of the second	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of applicant's amendment filed 10/30/06 is acknowledged. Claims 1-2 and 4-11 are pending.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that the copper header side is used for low temperature cooling side (outlet) and the stainless steel used for high temperature cooling side (inlet), a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this case, the tanks (7,10) are structurally capable of performing both an introductory tank or discharge tank since the tank (7) and (10) are not structurally different, the only different is a matter of intended use to enter the heat exchange fluid through the tank (7) or tank (10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2,4 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitoshi et al. (JP 403185279A) in view of Kato et al. (US 5,067,235). Hitoshi discloses (figures 1-2) a heat exchanger comprising a heat exchanger core including plurality of tubes (8,9); an introduction tank (7) attached to end of the heat exchange core for introducing a heat transfer

Art Unit: 3744

medium into the heat exchanger core; a discharge tank (10) attached to opposite end of the heat exchanger core (8,9) for receiving the heat transfer medium from the heat exchanger core. Hitoshi does not explicitly disclose the material of tanks (7,10). However, Histoshi discloses that the heat exchanger is divided into two portions, one is high temperature side and the other is low temperature side. The high temperature tube portion (8, stainless steel) attached to the header (7) is made of different material from the low temperature tube portion (9, copper) attached to the header (10), wherein copper (8.9) has a greater specific gravity than stainless steel (7.8). Kato discloses (figures 6, 12 and column 4, lines 59-64) a heat exchanger that has a plurality of tube extending between two headers and fins (36,95) stacked between the tubes for increasing the heat transfer surface area of the tube, wherein the header is preferably the same material as the tube that joins to the header for a purpose of minimizing the thermal stress due to differential thermal expansion and contraction between materials of the tubes and the header. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Kato's teaching in Hitoshi's heat exchanger for a purpose of minimizing the thermal stress due to differential thermal expansion and contraction between materials of the tubes and the header. Regarding claim 11, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPO 1647 (1987). Regarding the intended use limitations, the tanks (7,10) are structurally capable of performing both as an introductory tank and discharge tank depending on the intended use of the heat exchanger. Therefore, tank (10) can also be referred as "an

Application/Control Number: 10/811,151

Art Unit: 3744

introductory tank" and tank (7) referred as "a discharged tank", wherein tank (10) made of copper has a higher heat conductivity than tank (7), which is made of stainless steel.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho v. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-4793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 3744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tyler J. Cheryl can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tho v Duong

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

TU

TD

January 21, 2007